The linear span of uniform matrix product states (uMPS) joint work with Claudia De Lazzari and Tim Seynnaeve

Harshit J Motwani

Ghent University Department of Mathematics: Algebra and Geometry

AGATES Kickoff workshop, September 21, 2022



1 Tensor Networks

O Uniform Matrix Product States (uMPS)

3 Results

Open Problem



Harshit J Motwani (Ghent University)

Ī

GHENT

UNIVERSITY

What are Tensor Networks?





AGATES

What are Tensor Networks?

$$i - \underbrace{\bigoplus_{M}}_{N} \overset{j}{\bigoplus_{N}} \overset{l}{\underset{k}} k = \sum_{j} M_{ij} N_{jkl}$$

Figure: Diagrammatic representation of Tensor Contraction



Harshit J Motwani (Ghent University)

Ī

GHENT

UNIVERSITY

What are Tensor Networks?

$$i - \underbrace{\bigcap_{M} j}_{N} \underbrace{\bigcup_{N} k}_{N} = \sum_{j} M_{ij} N_{jkl}$$

Figure: Diagrammatic representation of Tensor Contraction

• Tensor networks are diagrammatic representation of tensor contraction.



Matrix Operations via Tensor Networks

• It can be thought of as generalization of matrix multiplication to higher order tensors.



Matrix Operations via Tensor Networks

• It can be thought of as generalization of matrix multiplication to higher order tensors.





Figure: Matrix operations via Tensor Networks



 Quantum Many-Body system consisting of *d* particles, each one with the wave function residing in finite dimensional Hilbert space *H_i*, with dim(*H_i*) = *n* and the orthonormal basis of *H_i* as {|*e_{h_i}*}}ⁿ_{h=1}.



Harshit J Motwani (Ghent University)

- Quantum Many-Body system consisting of *d* particles, each one with the wave function residing in finite dimensional Hilbert space *H_i*, with dim(*H_i*) = *n* and the orthonormal basis of *H_i* as {|*e_{hi}*}}ⁿ_{h=1}.
- The wave function of many-body system is a tensor product of states $\mathcal{H} = \otimes_{i=1}^{d} \mathcal{H}_{i}.$

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{h_1,\dots,h_d=1}^n \mathcal{A}_{h_1\cdots h_d} |e_{h_1}\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |e_{h_d}\rangle$$



UNIVERSITY

Problem: Curse of Dimensionality!

• We need *dⁿ* coordinates, in order to completely describe the wave function.



Harshit J Motwani (Ghent University)



Problem: Curse of Dimensionality!

- We need *dⁿ* coordinates, in order to completely describe the wave function.
- This makes it infeasible to perform simulations/computations on it as the memory required grows exponentially with the number of particles.



Harshit J Motwani (Ghent University)

Problem: Curse of Dimensionality!

- We need *dⁿ* coordinates, in order to completely describe the wave function.
- This makes it infeasible to perform simulations/computations on it as the memory required grows exponentially with the number of particles.



Nature isn't classical, dammit, and if you want to make a simulation of nature, you'd better make it quantum mechanical, and by golly it's a wonderful problem, because it doesn't look so easy.

— Richard P. Feynman —

Figure: One solution: Quantum Computers!



GHENT UNIVERSITY

Another Solution: Tensor Networks!

• Fortunately, most of the physically relevant states occupies exponentially small volume in many-body Hilbert Space [PQSV11].



Harshit J Motwani (Ghent University)

Another Solution: Tensor Networks!

 Fortunately, most of the physically relevant states occupies exponentially small volume in many-body Hilbert Space [PQSV11].



Figure: Physical Corner of many-body Hilbert Space





Uniform Matrix Product States (uMPS)

Definition

The uniform Matrix Product State parametrization is given by the map

$$\phi: (\mathbb{C}^{m \times m})^n \to (\mathbb{C}^n)^{\otimes d}$$
$$(A_0, \ldots, A_{n-1}) \mapsto \sum_{0 \le i_1, \ldots, i_d \le n-1} \operatorname{Tr}(A_{i_1} \cdots A_{i_d}) e_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_d}.$$

$$uMPS(m, n, d) = \overline{Im(\phi)}$$



Harshit J Motwani (Ghent University)

9/20

• What is the dimension of (uMPS(m, n, d))?



Harshit J Motwani (Ghent University)

Ì

- What is the dimension of $\langle uMPS(m, n, d) \rangle$?
- For which parameters m, n, d ∈ N does (uMPS(m, n, d)) fill the ambient space?



Harshit J Motwani (Ghent University)

$\mathsf{Cyc}^d(\mathbb{C}^n) := \{ \omega \in (\mathbb{C}^n)^{\otimes d} \mid \sigma \cdot \omega = \omega \quad \forall \sigma \in C_d \}.$



Harshit J Motwani (Ghent University)

$$\operatorname{Cyc}^{d}(\mathbb{C}^{n}) := \{ \omega \in (\mathbb{C}^{n})^{\otimes d} \mid \sigma \cdot \omega = \omega \quad \forall \sigma \in C_{d} \}.$$

Observation 1

The set $uMPS(m, n, d) \subseteq Cyc^d(\mathbb{C}^n)$. Given $M_1, \ldots, M_d \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$, $\sigma \in C_d$

$$\operatorname{Tr}(M_1 \cdots M_d) = \operatorname{Tr}(M_{\sigma(1)} \cdots M_{\sigma(d)}).$$



$\operatorname{Dih}^{d}(\mathbb{C}^{n}) := \{ \omega \in (\mathbb{C}^{n})^{\otimes d} \mid \sigma \cdot \omega = \omega \quad \forall \sigma \in D_{2d} \}.$



Harshit J Motwani (Ghent University)

Ī

$$\mathsf{Dih}^d(\mathbb{C}^n) := \{ \omega \in (\mathbb{C}^n)^{\otimes d} \mid \sigma \cdot \omega = \omega \quad \forall \sigma \in D_{2d} \}.$$

Observation 2 ([Gre14, Theorem 1.1])

 $\mathsf{uMPS}(2,2,d) \subseteq \mathsf{Dih}^d(\mathbb{C}^n).$ Given $A_{i_1},\cdots,A_{i_d} \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$

$$\operatorname{Tr}(A_{i_1}\cdots A_{i_d})=\operatorname{Tr}(A_{i_d}\cdots A_{i_1}).$$



Ī

Cayley-Hamilton Technique

Let $c = (c_1, \ldots, c_s) \in \mathbb{C}^s$ be a vector of coefficients and $\{i_\ell^j\}_{1 \le \ell \le d, 1 \le j \le s}$ be indices; with $i_\ell^j \in [n]$. Assume that for every *n*-tuple (A_0, \ldots, A_{n-1}) of $m \times m$ matrices and every k < m the following identity holds:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{s} c_j \operatorname{Tr}(A_{i_1^j} \cdots A_{i_d^j} A_0^k) = 0.$$

Then the same identity holds for arbitrary $k \in \mathbb{N}$.



Example - 2×2 matrices

The following identity holds for any 2×2 matrices A_0, A_1, A_2, A_3 and $k \ge 0$:

$$Tr(A_1A_2A_0A_3A_0^k) + Tr(A_2A_3A_0A_1A_0^k) + Tr(A_3A_1A_0A_2A_0^k) = Tr(A_1A_0A_2A_3A_0^k) + Tr(A_2A_0A_3A_1A_0^k) + Tr(A_3A_0A_1A_2A_0^k).$$



Harshit J Motwani (Ghent University)

Ī

GHENT

UNIVERSITY

The following identity holds for any 2×2 matrices A_0, A_1, A_2, A_3 and $k \ge 0$:

$$Tr(A_1A_2A_0A_3A_0^k) + Tr(A_2A_3A_0A_1A_0^k) + Tr(A_3A_1A_0A_2A_0^k) = Tr(A_1A_0A_2A_3A_0^k) + Tr(A_2A_0A_3A_1A_0^k) + Tr(A_3A_0A_1A_2A_0^k).$$

By Cayley-Hamilton Technique, enough to show the identity for k = 0, 1.



Harshit J Motwani (Ghent University)

The following identity holds for any 2×2 matrices A_0, A_1, A_2, A_3 and $k \ge 0$:

$$Tr(A_1A_2A_0A_3A_0^k) + Tr(A_2A_3A_0A_1A_0^k) + Tr(A_3A_1A_0A_2A_0^k) = Tr(A_1A_0A_2A_3A_0^k) + Tr(A_2A_0A_3A_1A_0^k) + Tr(A_3A_0A_1A_2A_0^k).$$

By Cayley-Hamilton Technique, enough to show the identity for k = 0, 1.

$$\frac{\mathrm{Tr}(A_1A_2A_0A_3)}{=\mathrm{Tr}(A_1A_0A_2A_3)} + \frac{\mathrm{Tr}(A_2A_3A_0A_1)}{\mathrm{Tr}(A_2A_0A_3A_1)} + \frac{\mathrm{Tr}(A_3A_1A_0A_2)}{\mathrm{Tr}(A_3A_0A_1A_2)}$$



Harshit J Motwani (Ghent University)



GHFN1

The following identity holds for any 2×2 matrices A_0, A_1, A_2, A_3 and $k \ge 0$:

$$Tr(A_1A_2A_0A_3A_0^k) + Tr(A_2A_3A_0A_1A_0^k) + Tr(A_3A_1A_0A_2A_0^k) = Tr(A_1A_0A_2A_3A_0^k) + Tr(A_2A_0A_3A_1A_0^k) + Tr(A_3A_0A_1A_2A_0^k).$$

By Cayley-Hamilton Technique, enough to show the identity for k = 0, 1.

$$\frac{\text{Tr}(A_1A_2A_0A_3)}{\text{Tr}(A_1A_0A_2A_3)} + \frac{\text{Tr}(A_2A_3A_0A_1)}{\text{Tr}(A_2A_3A_0A_1)} + \frac{\text{Tr}(A_3A_1A_0A_2)}{\text{Tr}(A_3A_0A_1A_2)}$$

$$\frac{\operatorname{Tr}(A_1A_2A_0A_3A_0)}{=\operatorname{Tr}(A_1A_0A_2A_3A_0)} + \frac{\operatorname{Tr}(A_2A_3A_0A_1A_0)}{\operatorname{Tr}(A_2A_0A_3A_1A_0)} + \frac{\operatorname{Tr}(A_3A_1A_0A_2A_0)}{\operatorname{Tr}(A_3A_0A_1A_2A_0)}.$$



If $n \ge 3$ and $d \ge \frac{(m+1)(m+2)}{2}$, then uMPS(m, n, d) is contained in a proper linear subspace of the space of cyclically invariant tensors.



Harshit J Motwani (Ghent University)

If $n \ge 3$ and $d \ge \frac{(m+1)(m+2)}{2}$, then uMPS(m, n, d) is contained in a proper linear subspace of the space of cyclically invariant tensors.

Sketch of Proof:

• We need to find a non-trivial linear relation between traces of matrices which is not given by cyclic permutation.



If $n \ge 3$ and $d \ge \frac{(m+1)(m+2)}{2}$, then uMPS(m, n, d) is contained in a proper linear subspace of the space of cyclically invariant tensors.

Sketch of Proof:

- We need to find a non-trivial linear relation between traces of matrices which is not given by cyclic permutation.
- We proved the following technical identity using Cayley-Hamilton trick

$$\sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_m,\tau\in C_{m+1}}\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)\operatorname{sgn}(\tau)\operatorname{Tr}(A_{\tau(0)}B^{\sigma(0)}\cdots A_{\tau(m-1)}B^{\sigma(m-1)}A_{\tau(m)}B^{\ell})=0.$$



A *bracelet* (of length d on the alphabet [n]) is an equivalence class of words, where two words are equivalent if they agree up to the action D_{2d} .



Harshit J Motwani (Ghent University)

A *bracelet* (of length d on the alphabet [n]) is an equivalence class of words, where two words are equivalent if they agree up to the action D_{2d} .

Technical Lemma

For every bracelet $b = (b_1, \ldots, b_k)$, there is a unique polynomial

 $P_b(T_0, T_1, T_{00}, T_{01}, T_{11}) \in \mathbb{C}[T_0, T_1, T_{00}, T_{01}, T_{11}]$

such that for every pair (A_0, A_1) of 2×2 matrices, the following equality holds:

$$\mathsf{Tr}(A_{b_1}\cdots A_{b_k})=P_b(\mathsf{Tr}(A_0),\mathsf{Tr}(A_1),\mathsf{Tr}(A_0^2),\mathsf{Tr}(A_0A_1),\mathsf{Tr}(A_1^2)).$$



UNIVERSITY

• uMPS(2, 2, d) is the image of the polynomial map

$$\psi: \mathbb{C}^5 \to \mathsf{Dih}^d(\mathbb{C}^2)$$
$$(T_0, T_1, T_{00}, T_{01}, T_{11}) \mapsto \sum_b P_b(T_0, T_1, T_{00}, T_{01}, T_{11}) e_b,$$

where b runs over all bracelets of length d.



• uMPS(2, 2, d) is the image of the polynomial map

$$\psi: \mathbb{C}^5 \to \mathsf{Dih}^d(\mathbb{C}^2)$$
$$(T_0, T_1, T_{00}, T_{01}, T_{11}) \mapsto \sum_b P_b(T_0, T_1, T_{00}, T_{01}, T_{11})e_b,$$

where b runs over all bracelets of length d.

• This is the trace parametrization of uMPS(2, 2, *d*).



GHFN'

For every $d \in \mathbb{N}$, we have the inequality

$$\dim \langle \mathsf{uMPS}(2,2,d) \rangle \leq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{192}(d+6)(d+4)^2(d+2) & \text{for } d \text{ even,} \\ \frac{1}{192}(d+7)(d+5)(d+3)(d+1) & \text{for } d \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$



Harshit J Motwani (Ghent University)



Ī

For every $d \in \mathbb{N}$, we have the inequality

$$\dim \langle \mathsf{uMPS}(2,2,d) \rangle \leq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{192}(d+6)(d+4)^2(d+2) & \text{for } d \text{ even}, \\ \frac{1}{192}(d+7)(d+5)(d+3)(d+1) & \text{for } d \text{ odd}. \end{cases}$$

Sketch of Proof:

Using the trace parametrization of uMPS(2, 2, d), dim⟨uMPS(2, 2, d)⟩ is at most the number of degree d monomials in C[T₀, T₁, T₀₀, T₀₁, T₁₁].



GHEM.

Conjecture

$$\dim \langle \mathsf{uMPS}(2,2,d) \rangle = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{192} (d^4 - 4d^2 + 192d + 192) & \text{for } d \text{ even,} \\ \frac{1}{192} (d^4 - 10d^2 + 192d + 201) & \text{for } d \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$



Harshit J Motwani (Ghent University)



The work presented here is based on:

- De Lazzari C, Motwani HJ, Seynnaeve T. The linear span of uniform matrix product states. arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.10363. 2022 Apr 21.
- Code available at: https://github.com/harshitmotwani2015/uMPS/

Pictures of Tensor Networks are taken from https://tensornetwork.org/ Additional Reference

- Poulin D, Qarry A, Somma R, Verstraete F. Quantum simulation of time-dependent Hamiltonians and the convenient illusion of Hilbert space. Physical review letters. 2011 Apr 29;106(17):170501.
- Greene J. Traces of matrix products. The Electronic Journal of Linear Algebra. 2014 Jan 1;27:716-34.

